File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

Field Field

Field Name Type Length Data Source Description Specifications and Calculations

FILE INFORMATION

Because Microsoft Excel (.xls) and data base format (.dbf) files have a maximum limit of variables in a file, approximately 250 variables, multiple files are needed to report the data. For accuracy and ease of presentation the file layout is not separated into multiple file layouts. The variables on the multiple files are sequenced in ascending order as described in the file layout. Each file has identification variables (CODE) for matching data from the discrete file.

The Excel files are:

NCLB04_1.xls

NCLB04 2.xls

The DBF files are:

NCLB04_1.dbf

NCLB04 2.dbf

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Field

Field Name Type Length Data Source Description Specifications and Calculations

	IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION
File N	NCLByy common layout – School/District/State – SAS and EXCEL format
Descri	The "No Child Left Behind Act of 2001," is a reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965. The Act continues federal distribution to public elementary and secondary education schools, districts, and states to support education for disadvantaged students.
	The file reports AYP status for the school, district or state. Annual Measurable Objects (AMO's) are presented for reading, mathematics, other indicators and participation rate components and for subpopulations of White non-Hispanic, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, and Students with Disabilities.
	Reportable subpopulations (sufficient size criteria) A subpopulation is only reportable (of sufficient size) if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per accountable grade and 60 students overall per school/district [as appropriate] in the accountable grades or that the subpopulation must be at least 15% of the overall school/district total population). NOTE: Total Population does not have the minimum group size applied.
	Reportable subpopulation <u>Participation Rate (sufficient size criteria)</u> Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable when it meets a minimum group size (10 students per accountable grade and 60 students overall per school/district) in the accountable grades.
Progra Name	NCLByy.SAS
Securi Level:	Public upon release date; otherwise internal.

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

d Name	Type	Lenath	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
<u> </u>	.,,,,	Longin	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Восоприон	Spoullouistic and Salodialistic
					2004 Revision Information
					Varian 02 Fahruari 00 0004
					 Version 03 – February 06, 2004 The addition of "???" value to Overall AYP Status –2003 (AYP OA03) was remo
					USDOE denied Kentucky's request for transitional authority.
					Varion 04 Marsh 20, 2004
					 Version 04 – March 30, 2004 The addition of variable IPGL2002 and movement of variable TST_AI_02 to proper
					position in layout. Variable IPGL2002 is present in the data file, but was not
					documented in the file layout.
					Version 05 – May 05, 2004
					 Add missing information for variable AYP_PAR03 that was included in variable AYP PAR02 [Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimur
					group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
					group size (10 stauents per grade and 00 stauents overall per scribblidistrict).]
					Version 06 – May 15, 2004
					 Revised Performance Judgment definitions for districts.
					 Add additional 2004 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) variables for Reading, Mathematics, etc.
					 Add additional variables for grade counts for each subpopulation.
					 Revise identification criteria used to identify a subpopulation of sufficient size for
					assessment of its AMO. Starting with 2004 data, sufficient size procedure specthat each accountable grade must have 10 subpopulation students and (60
					subpopulation students overall per school/district or the subpopulation must be
					least 15% of the overall school/district total population) for the subpopulation to
					meet sufficient size criteria. • Provide for new guidelines from USDOE, that the participation rate for annual tes
					can now be an average of up to three years. This procedure applies to both the
					population of students and each subpopulation. • Add Reading & Mathematics Flag variables for each subpopulation. These flags
					indicate the use of 15% criterion to identify the subpopulation as meeting sufficient
					size.
					 Revise LEP subpopulation calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above. Starting in 2004, if a LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for
					AYP, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by demonstrating English
					proficiency) in the last two years can/will be added to the current year subpopular
					prior to calculation of the percentage Proficient or above. The decision to add e LEP students will depend on its impact.
					Added the following varibles:
					LEP_RD_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Stat LEP_MA_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Combined Population
					Status)
					LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency participation Combined Population
					 Status) Provide for the recently allowed exemption by USDOE for "recently arrived" LEP
					students from one administration of the reading KCCT. Recently arrive is define
					less than 10 months before the test is administered.
					 Revise the definition of Full Academic Year. A full academic year is defined as
					hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a
					school/district. from the first day of school to the first day of testing window. Revise High School Graduation Rate Definition
	1			Pa	ge 3 of 111

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
				Information contained on this file is obtained from the raw data generated from the Kentucky Core
				Content Test (KCCT) provided by the contractor, Nationally Norm Referenced Test (NRT) provided by the contractor, databases at the Kentucky Department of Education housing nonacademic data, school information, district information from current and prior years. Identification and matching of school, district, and state level data is handled via the CODE field.
				File Sequence: CODE ascending. Terminology: AMO – Annual Measurable Objective AYP – Adequate Yearly Progress BLANK - When used in the description of a value in a data field, blank is used interchangeably with null and missing. CATS – Commonwealth Accountability Testing System KCCT – Kentucky Core Content Tests NCLB – No Child Left Behind NRT – Nationally Norm Referenced Test (CBTS/5 Survey Edition) NULL - When used in the description of a value in a data field, null is used interchangeably with blank and missing.
				FILE NAMES (School/District/State) NCLB04. – NCLB 2004 performance.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
CODE	Char.	6	SCHCTL	Description	Code Number assigned by KDE to identify the school building site.
					Code consists of a 3 character District No. followed by 3 characters of blanks.
					Certain Districts have different numbers assigned for Commonwealth Accountability Testing System processing. These are:
					602 KY SCH FOR BLIND State school, responsible for administration of Commonwealth Accountability Testing System test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of the parents' legal residence. 603 KY SCH FOR DEAF State school, responsible for administration of Commonwealth Accountability Testing System test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the school of the parents' legal residence. 606 MODEL LAB Special school run by Eastern Kentucky University, with fiscal responsibility of Madison County Public Schools. Effective 2002-2003, Model Lab school results are reported within Madison County Public Schools (district 365). 999 STATE Code For summarization of school results at the STATE level, a special code (999) is assigned within the Commonwealth Accountability Testing System to permit the aggregation and reporting of data at the State level.

Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name DISTNO	Type Char.	Length 6	Data Source Schctlyy	Description District Number	District Number assigned by KDE. Code consists of a 3 character District No. followed by a 3 blank character s. • Certain Districts have different numbers assigned for KCCT processing. These are: 602 KY SCH FOR BLIND State school, responsible for administration of KCCT test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of parents legal residence. 603 KY SCH FOR DEAF State school, responsible for administration of KCCT test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of parents legal residence. 607 FT CAMPBELL Federal Schools on Military base. Students do not participate in the testing program and the schools are not accountable to the Commonwealth of Kentucky. 608 FT KNOX Federal Schools on Military base. Students do not participate in the testing program and the schools are not accountable to the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
					. •

Field Name	Field	Field	Deta Carras	Description	On a Windiana and Only dations
Field Name REGION	Type Char.	2	Schotlyy	ADD Region Code	Specifications and Calculations Area Development District (ADD) Region codes indicate the geographic region where a school is located. Kentucky's counties are grouped into 15 regions known as Area Development Districts, or ADD's. ADD's are a means by which local elected officials and citizens unite to provide for the planned growth of their area. An ADD is therefore a regional organization that assists in the formulation and implementation of human resource and infrastructure related plans. Region codes range from 01 to 15. Area Development District (ADD) Region codes 01 - Purchase 02 - Pennyrile 03 - Green River 04 - Barren River 05 - Lincoln Trail 06 - KIPDA (Also encompasses Indiana counties of Clark and Floyd) 07 - Northern Kentucky 08 - Buffalo Trace 09 - Gateway 10 - FIVCO 11 - Dig Sandy 12 - Kentucky River 13 - Cumberland Valley 14 - Lake Cumberland 15 - Bluegrass
DISTNAME	Char.	30	SCHCTL	District Name	Name of District. • 999 = State
SCHNAME	Char.	45	SCHCTL	School Name	School name BLANK in District, Region and State only entities
					SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY CONTROL INFORMATION

		Field	D / 0		
Field Name	Туре	Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
CLSS	Char.	9	KDEACCyy	PERFORMANCE JUDGMENT – 2004	PERFORMANCE JUDGMENT Accountability Cycle 2004 Performance Judgment Messages. For the preliminary NCLB release (August 2004) CATS Performance Judgment messages are not reported. When CATS results are released in October 2004, the Performance Judgment is added. School performance judgment messages are: • MEET GOAL – "Meets Goal" • DROP NOV – "Meets Goal – Dropout and Novice Reduction" • DROP – "Meets Goal – Dropout" • NOV – "Meets Goal – Dropout" • NOV – "Meets Goal – Novice Reduction" • PROGRESS – "Progressing – Decline, Dropout Rate and Novice Reduction" • DECL DROP – "Progressing – Decline and Dropout" • DECL NOV – "Progressing – Decline and Novice Reduction" • PROG DN – "Progressing – Dropout and Novice Reduction" • PROG DN – "Progressing – Dropout Rate" • PROG DROP – "Progressing – Dropout Rate" • PROG DROP – "Progressing – Novice Reduction" • ASSIST 1 – "Assistance Level 1" • ASSIST 2 – "Assistance Level 2" • ASSIST 3 – "Assistance Level 3" District performance judgment messages are: • MEET GOAL – "Exemplary Growth District" • AUDIT 1 = "Audit Level 1 District" • AUDIT 2 = "Audit Level 2 District" • NOT MET – "No Classification" Further definition of the messages can be found in the KDE document Commonwealth Accountability Testing System, Performance Judgment Messages – Accountability Cycle 2004

		Field			
Field Name		Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
JOINT	Char.	1	SCHCTL.DBF	Joint School	Joined School Indicator. Data from schools that do not house both grades 4 and 5 or both grades 7 and 8. Student performance data for the split schools are aggregated into a combined school data entity referred to as a Joined School. BLANK = Not a joined school 1 = Joined school
					Note: Joint School Indicator is used only to note the schools that makeup a joint school (AAA) but is not used to indicate the actual joint school (AAA). All joint schools are provided a NCLB Federal Accountability Report. However, these reports
					document the progress of all of the joint school, as a whole and not the progress of each individual school that makeup the joint school.
ACC_LOW	Char	2	SCHCTL	Low grade of Accountability	Accountability Lowest Grade Level in School year. 00 = Pre-school / Head-start 0E = 5 year olds – formally Kindergarten type students 0P = Primary PS = Pre-school Non-Public 01 = 1st grade – Federal operated schools only 02 = 2nd grade – Federal operated schools only 03 = 3rd grade – Federal operated schools only 04 = 4th Grade 05 = 5th Grade 06 = 6th Grade 07 = 7th Grade 08 = 8th Grade 09 = 9th Grade 10 = 10th Grade 11 = 11th Grade 12 = 12th Grade

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACC_HGH	Char	2	SCHCTL	High grade of Accountability	Accountability Highest Grade Level in school year. 00 = Pre-school / Head-start 0E = 5 year olds – formally Kindergarten type students 0P = Primary PS = Pre-school Non-Public 01 = 1 st grade – Federal operated schools only 02 = 2 nd grade – Federal operated schools only 03 = 3 rd grade – Federal operated schools only 04 = 4 th Grade 05 = 5 th Grade 06 = 6 th Grade 07 = 7 th Grade 08 = 8 th Grade 09 = 9 th Grade 10 = 10 th Grade 11 = 11 th Grade 11 = 11 th Grade
TITLE_1	Char.	1	T1SCHyy_NCL B	Title 1 School	Pittle 1 indicator. Y = Yes, a Title I school or district N = No, not a Title I School or district NOTE: The school's NCLB's Title 1 status is given as of the start of the school year following the prior school year Spring KCCT testing. The NCLB's Title 1 status may be different from the KCCT's Title 1 status reported in the Kentucky Performance Report (KPR). The school's/district's KPR Title 1 status is stated at the time of testing while the NCLB's Title 1 status is stated at the time of reporting (the beginning of the next school year).

Field Name	Field	Field	Doto Cource	Description	Specifications and Coloulations
Field Name ACTION	Type Char.	Length 1	SCHCTL	School Reconfiguration Indicator	Restructuring of School Student Population based on Geographic boundary changes and / or major student enrollment shifts. The calculation of the School's Accountability Indexes, Baseline, Improvement Goals, and Performance Judgments are effected. A = Reconfigured School or New School – District Baseline is used in Accountability calculations. C = Reconfigured School or New School in 1998-1999 school year. School is treated as if it Is not reconfigured, with the exception that the nonacademic index from the district from the previous year at the corresponding level (elementary, middle, or high school) is substituted for the school's nonacademic data. D = Reconfigured School that is accountable based on it's own results but uses the District goal, assistance and novice reduction lines. E = Reconfigured School or New School in the current school year. School is treated as if It is not reconfigured, with the exception that the nonacademic index from the district from the first (Baseline) accountability cycle (1999-2002) at the corresponding level (elementary, middle, or high school) is substituted for the school's nonacademic data. F = Reconfigured School that is using the District Baseline (goal) for Accountability. School is treated as if it is not reconfigured (will use school assessment data), with the exception that the district nonacademic information from the previous year at the high school level is substituted for the school's nonacademic data. (This is a special case for new high schools that do not have all grades in the prior year). N = No Index Computed – School is an A2 A6 school and/or school closed within the reporting school year. S = Standard Computation blank = School not Reconfigured Field is blank in State (999) records.
ACTNYR	Char.	4	Translated from SCHCTL	Year of School Reconfiguration	Year of School Reconfiguration NOTE: Variable is used by contractor and not reported on KPR.
GRD_RDN	Num	8	Translated from SCHCTL	Reading Level	Reading School, District or State grade levels 1. Elementary 2. Middle 3. Elementary and Middle 4. High 5. Elementary and High (Not currently used) 6. Middle and High 7. Elementary, Middle and High

Field Name		Field Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
GRD_MAN	Num	8	Translated from SCHCTL	Mathematics Level	Mathematics School, District or State grade levels 1. Elementary 2. Middle 3. Elementary and Middle 4. High 5. Elementary and High (Not currently used) 6. Middle and High 7. Elementary, Middle and High
					NCLB STARTING POINTS and GOALS INFORMATION
RD_2002	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Starting point	Reading Starting point The 20 th Proficiency percentile starting points in reading calculated separately at the elementary, middle and high school levels. 2002 is the starting year for NCLB
RD_2003	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2003	Reading Goal for 2003
RD_2004	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2004	Reading Goal for 2004
RD_2005	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2005	Reading Goal for 2005
RD_2006	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2006	Reading Goal for 2006
RD_2007	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2007	Reading Goal for 2007
RD_2008	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2008	Reading Goal for 2008
RD_2009	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2009	Reading Goal for 2009
RD_2010	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2010	Reading Goal for 2010
RD_2011	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2011	Reading Goal for 2011
RD_2012	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2012	Reading Goal for 2012
RD_2013	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2013	Reading Goal for 2013
RD_2014	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2014	Reading Goal for 2014

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

Field Field Field Name Type Length Data Source Description Specifications and Calculations MA_2002 calculated Mathematics Starting point Mathematics Starting point Num 8 The 20th Proficiency percentile starting points in Mathematics calculated separately at 6.2 the elementary, middle and high school levels. 2002 is the starting year for NCLB MA_2003 Num 8 calculated Mathematics Goal for 2003 Mathematics Goal for 2003 6.2 MA_2004 Num 8 calculated Mathematics Goal for 2004 Mathematics Goal for 2004 6.2 MA 2005 Mathematics Goal for 2005 Mathematics Goal for 2005 Num 8 calculated 6.2 MA_2006 Mathematics Goal for 2006 Mathematics Goal for 2006 Num 8 calculated 6.2 MA_2007 Num 8 calculated Mathematics Goal for 2007 Mathematics Goal for 2007 6.2 MA_2008 Num 8 calculated Mathematics Goal for 2008 Mathematics Goal for 2008 6.2 MA_2009 Mathematics Goal for 2009 Mathematics Goal for 2009 Num 8 calculated 6.2 MA_2010 Num 8 calculated Mathematics Goal for 2010 Mathematics Goal for 2010 6.2 MA_2011 Num calculated Mathematics Goal for 2011 Mathematics Goal for 2011 6.2 MA_2012 Mathematics Goal for 2012 Mathematics Goal for 2012 Num 8 calculated 6.2 MA_2013 Mathematics Goal for 2013 Mathematics Goal for 2013 Num 8 calculated 6.2 MA_2014 Num calculated Mathematics Goal for 2014 Mathematics Goal for 2014 6.2

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) COMPONENT'S STATUS

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
IPGL2004	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Improvement Goal Point for Accountability Cycle 2004	 Improvement Goal Point for Accountability Cycle 2004. "Goal Line" means a fixed line that extends from a point that is one (1) standard error of measurement below school's baseline index to a point that is one (1) standard error of measurement below the state goal established for the target biennium. Points calculated define this shall be rounded to the nearest tenth. In any biennium, a school's growth accountability index shall be at or above this line in order to achieve a classification of meets goal in recognition of growth. (703 KAR 5:020). The improvement goal point for accountability cycle 2004 is the biennium 2004 goal point on the goal line minus one standard error of measurement. Schools with service area reconfigurations after the 1998-1999 school year and within 2000-2001 & 2001-2002 shall be assigned a improvement goal point for accountability cycle 2004 calculated from the 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 aggregated district level data for the appropriate level (elementary, middle, or high school) The school district may submit to the Department of Education a plan for reconstituting baseline data taking into consideration the changes in service areas. This alternative shall not be implemented until the affected schools have a complete biennium of data to be considered in the growth calculations.
AYP_RD02	Char.	3		AYP Reading 2002	Reading AYP Component status – 2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment a. Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data b. Reconfigured School with Action Code A and current ACTNYR (2003) Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other indicator" which is the previous year accountability index.

=:		Field	D / O	5	
Field Name AYP RDOA02	Type Char.	Length 3	Data Source	Description AYP Reading Overall Status 2002	Specifications and Calculations Reading AYP Overall Component status – 2002
ATT_INDONG	Ona.	3		ATT Reading Overall Status 2002	Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Note: To meet Reading AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Reading AYP component requirements (see above AYP_RD02), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and/or AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements are not meet the school/district has not meet the Reading AYP Overall Component status.
AYP_MA02	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics 2002	Mathematics AYP Component status – 2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a.2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other indicator" which is the previous year accountability index.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MAOA02	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2002	Mathematics AYP Overall Component status – 2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A b Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA02), but must also mee three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and/or AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements are not meet the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall Component status.
AYP_PAR02	Char.	3		AYP Participation Rate 2002	the Mathematics AYP Overall Component status. Participation Rate AYP Component status –2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) • Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements. • Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).

Field Name		Field	Data Carra	Description	On a life and and Onlands lines
Field Name		Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_AI02	Char.	3		AYP Accountability Index 2002	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic
AYP_GR02	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2002	indicator") is lagged one year. The 2002 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2001. Graduation Rate High School AYP Component status –2002
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") only reflects if the entire High School student body met the Graduation Rate AYP requirement. At the high school level, under "other academic indicator" school /districts students must improve o be equal to 100 for their graduation rate. Kentucky will meet this requirement by calculating a graduation rate consistent with NCLB requirements.

E'd I Nord		Field	D. (. 0	D	0
Field Name		Length	Data Source	Description Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_OA02	Char.	3		AYP Overall Status 2002	Overall AYP Status – 2002 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data) Note: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP.
NCLB_02	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2002	If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA02 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier. N/A Title I school but No Applicable information a. Not a Title I school Title I school has No Consequence Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write or revise school plan. Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services. Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action. Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a plan for Alternative Governance. Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field	Field	Doto Course	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_RD03	Type Char.	Length 3	Data Source	Description AYP Reading 2003	Specifications and Calculations Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can
					still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index. Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of total student population).

Field Name			Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_RDOA03	Char.	3		AYP Reading Overall Status 2003	Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status – 2003
					Y Yes, meets AYP Overall requirements
					N No, does not meet AYP Overall requirements
					N/A Not Applicable
					Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment
					Note: To meet Reading AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Reading AYP component requirements (see above AYP_RD03), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Reading AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Reading AYP Overall Component status.
					AYP Accountability Index requirements:
					Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement
					Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or
					Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00
					Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal
					High School Graduation Rate Requirements:
					High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate that is greater than their prior graduation rate.
					Year Rate
					2003 = 71.00
					2004 = 73.25
					2005 = 75.50
					2006 = 77.75
					2007 = 80.00
					2008 = 82.25 2009 = 84.50
					2010 = 86.75
					2011 = 89.00
					2012 = 91.25
					2013 = 93.50
					2014 = 95.75
					2015 = 98.00
					Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data
					Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School Graduation Rate Requirements.
					If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) that
					AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP
				Dog	Component status requirement. e 20 of 111

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MA03	Char.	3	Data Source	AYP Mathematics 2003	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003 Yes, meets AYP requirements No, does not meet AYP requirements Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index. Note: Mathematics Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the mathematics AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of total student population).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MAOA03	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2003	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status –2003
					Y Yes, meets AYP Overall requirements
					N No, does not meet AYP Overall requirements
					N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment
					blank Null, Not Sunicient information to provide a judgment
					Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet
					the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA03), but must
					also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation
					Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a
					high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above
					requirements (Mathematics AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate
					(high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall
					Component status.
					AVD Accountability Index requirements
					AYP Accountability Index requirements: Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement
					Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or
					Accountability Index must be greater than prior year, or Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00
					Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal
					The state of the s
					High School Graduation Rate Requirements:
					High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate
					that is greater than their prior graduation rate.
					<u>Year Rate</u>
					2003 = 71.00
					2004 = 73.25 2005 = 75.50
					2005 = 75.50 2006 = 77.75
					2007 = 80.00
					2008 = 82.25
					2009 = 84.50
					2010 = 86.75
					2011 = 89.00
					2012 = 91.25
					2013 = 93.50
					2014 = 95.75
					2015 = 98.00
					Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data
					Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that
					school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School
					Graduation Rate Requirements.
					'
					If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) that
					AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP
					Component status requirement.
				Page 2	2 lpf 111

1	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_PAR03	Char.	3		AYP Participation Rate 2003	Participation Rate Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements • Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading & Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements. • Subpopulation Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).
AYP_AI03	Char.	3		AYP Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. The 2003 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2002.
AYP_GR03	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2003	Graduation Rate High School AYP Component status – 2003 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. A school that is using district non-cognitive data (see Action Codes) Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. It only reflects the High School student body and not any non 9-12 students that may be associated with a school that has additional grades beyond 9-12.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_OA03	Char.	σ		AYP Overall Status 2003	Overall AYP Status –2003 Y Yes, meets all AYP requirements N No, does not meet all AYP requirements If AYP_RD03 or AYP_RDOA03 or AYP_MA03 or AYP_MAOA03 AYP_PAR03 or AYP_Al03 or AYP_GR03 = N THEN AYP_OA03 = N Note 1: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP. Note 2 The state did seek a transitional authority concerning the testing of students with limited English proficiency for the Spring 2003 test administration. The federal law requires that students with limited English proficiency be assessed if enrolled in the school during testing, while Kentucky administrative regulation allows these students one year to learn English before being tested. The state's January 2003 federal application proposed that Kentucky continue its definition as it is in the best interest of the students. The U.S. Department of Education did not accept the proposal, which was not known until after the 2003 test administration. This school followed the Kentucky administrative regulations in place at the time of testing. As a result, whether the school met the participation rate for limited English proficiency students to make AYP this year was unclear until early Jan. 2004 when the USDOE acted on Kentucky's request for transitional authority. USDOE denied Kentucky's request.
NCLB_03	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2003	If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA03 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier. N/A Title I school but No Applicable information a. Not a Title I school Title I school has No Consequence Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write or revise school plan. Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services. Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action. Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a plan for Alternative Governance. Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_RD04	Type Char.	Length 3	Data Source	Description AYP Reading 2004	Specifications and Calculations Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made
					progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index. Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is of sufficient size (reportable) if it meets a minimum group size (must have 10 students per grade and (60 students overall per school/district or be 15% of the overall school/district total population)).

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_MA04	Type Char.	Length 3	Data Source	Description AYP Mathematics 2004	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index. Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is of sufficient size (reportable) if it meets a minimum group size (must have 10 students per grade and (60 students overall per school/district or be 15% of the overall school/district total population)).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MAOA04	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2004	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status –2004
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements
					N No, does not meet AYP requirements
					S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below)
					N/A Not Applicable
					Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment
					Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA04), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Mathematics AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall
					Component status.
					AYP Accountability Index requirements:
					Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement
					Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or
					Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00
					Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal
					High School Graduation Rate Requirements:
					High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate
					that is greater than their prior graduation rate.
					<u>Year Rate</u> 2003 = 71.00
					2003 = 71.00 2004 = 73.25
					2004 = 73.23 2005 = 75.50
					2006 = 77.75
					2007 = 80.00
					2008 = 82.25
					2009 = 84.50
					2010 = 86.75
					2011 = 89.00
					2012 = 91.25
					2013 = 93.50
					2014 = 95.75
					2015 = 98.00
					Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data
					Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School Graduation Rate Requirements.
				Page 28	If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) that AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP of 111 Component status requirement.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_PAR04	Char.	3		AYP Participation Rate 2004	Participation Rate Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements No, does not meet AYP requirements Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading & Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements. Subpopulation Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).
AYP_AI04	Char.	3		AYP Accountability Index 2004	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004 Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. The 2004 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2003.
AYP_GR04	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2004	Yes, meets AYP requirements No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. A school that is using district non-cognitive data (see Action Codes) Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (typically a school that has not had stable existence for 6 years). Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. It only reflects the High School student body and not any non 9-12 students that may be associated with a school that has additional grades beyond 9-12.
AYP_OA04	Char.	3		AYP Overall Status 2004	Yes, meets all AYP requirements No, does not meet all AYP requirements If AYP_RD04 or AYP_RD0A04 or AYP_MA04 or AYP_MA0A04 AYP_PAR04 or AYP_AI04 or AYP_GR04 = N THEN AYP_OA04 = N Note 1: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP.

Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
NCLB_04	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2004	NCLB Consequences – 2004 If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA04 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier. N/A School has No Applicable information a. Not a Title I school Title I school has No Consequence Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write o revise school plan. Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services. Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a plan for Alternative Governance. Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.
					ACCOUNTABILITY INDEX
TST_AI_03	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index for 2003 Calculations For NCLB calculations the Accountability Index is lagged one year. The value is actually the CATS 2002 Accountability Index. Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for Elementary and Middle schools For high schools this indicator is not used and is blank unless the high school includes either an elementary or middle school grade(s) Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data
TST_AI_04	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index for 2004 Calculations For NCLB calculations the Accountability Index is lagged one year. The value is actually the CATS 2003 Accountability Index. Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for Elementary and Middle schools For high schools this indicator is not used and is blank unless the high school includes either an elementary or middle school grade(s) Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data
					GRADUATION RATE

Field Name	Field Type	Field	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
GRAD_RT03	Num 5.2	8	Sum	Graduation Rate 2003	High School Graduation Rate 2003 Note: High School includes 9, 10 11, & 12 th grades This value is the CATS 2003 graduation rate, which is lagged one year. Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for High schools For Middle & Elementary this indicator is not used and is blank unless the Middle and/or Elementary school includes a High school Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data NOTE: "Graduation rate" means the quotient of: [number of current year grade 12 completers (standard diploma within 4 years, including students with disabilities whose IEP's stipulate they will need more than four (4) years to obtain a standard diploma)] divided by [number of current year grade 12 completers (includes standard diplomas plus certificates of completion) plus number of current year grade 12 dropouts plus the number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade that dropped out as 11 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 10 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 9 th graders]. Formula based on 2003: 2003 completers (standard diploma in 4 years + IEP specifying more than 4 yrs) 2003 completers (std. Diplomas + certificates) + 2003 gr.12 dropouts + 2002 gr.11 dropouts + 2001 gr.10 dropouts + 2000 gr.9 dropouts

File Name: NCLByy

Date Revised:

Date Created: August 3, 2004

First No. 11		Field	Data Oa aa	Description	
Field Name	Type	Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
GRAD_RT04	Num 5.2	8	Sum Source	Graduation Rate 2004	High School Graduation Rate 2004 Note: High School includes 9, 10 11, & 12 th grades This value is the CATS 2004 graduation rate, which is lagged one year. Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for High schools For Middle & Elementary this indicator is not used and is blank unless the Middle and/or Elementary school includes a High school Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data Student must graduate in four years (starting in 9 th grade) to count as a graduate (unless otherwise indicated below). Students who do not graduate in four years, but have an IEP documenting their need for more than four years of secondary school education to complete their program may be counted as graduated if they completed high school as induced in their IEP. Students who withdraw from a Kentucky school and Enroll in a district or district-contracted alternative program that culminates in a General Educational Diploma; and Earn a GED by October of the following year. NOTE: "Graduation rate" means the quotient of: [number of current year grade 12 completers (standard diploma within 4 years, including students with disabilities whose IEP's stipulate they will need more than four (4) years to obtain a standard diploma)] divided by [number of current year grade 12 completers (includes standard diplomas plus certificates of completion) plus number of current year grade 12 completers (includes standard diplomas plus certificates of completion) plus number of current year grade 12 dropouts plus the number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 10 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 10 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 9 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 9 th graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 th grade class that dropped out as 9 th grader
					TOTAL TESTED

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_TST_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Reading Status	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Total Tested Reading Status
					Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). No, did not make AYP S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index on have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: Safe Harbor — If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_TST_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Mathematics Status	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Total Tested Mathematics Status Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). N No, did not make AYP S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index of have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting. AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_TST_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Participation Rate Status	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Total Tested Participation Rate Status Y Yes, made AYP N No, did not make AYP * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this population.
TST_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 4 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 5 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 7 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 8 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 10 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					 Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 11 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to
TST_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Total Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	the first day of testing window. Total number of grades 4 – 12 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of the total number of accountable students tested in Reading who were Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.
TST_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Reading Flag 2003	Place Holder – blank

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

Field Name	Type	Field Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of the total number of accountable students tested in Mathematics who were Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
					Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
TST_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Mathematics Flag 2003	Place Holder – blank
TST_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 4 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 5 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 7 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructiona</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 8 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructiona</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

Field Field Field Name Data Source Type Length Description Specifications and Calculations TST_10_04 Total Count of Grade 10 Students Total number of grade 10 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Num Sum Accountable 2004 Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. 6.0 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year - A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window. TST_11_04 Num Sum Total Count of Grade 11 Students Total number of grade 11 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Accountable 2004 Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year - A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window. TST TPC 04 Total Population Count - Students Total number of grades 4 – 12 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 Calculated Num submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate 6.0 Accountable 2004 to school/district configuration) in 2004. Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year - A full academic vear is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window. TST_RD_04 Num Sum Total Tested Reading 2004 Total students tested in Reading - 2004 6.0 Total number of Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students. TST RDPD 04 Num Percent Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Total students tested in Reading - Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished - 2004 6.2 Distinguished 2004 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. TST RDCI 04 Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Total students tested in Reading - Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Num Percent Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004 Interval - 2004 6.2 The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Total students tested in Reading –Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
TST_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Reading Flag 2004	Place Holder – blank
TST_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Tested Mathematics 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – 2004 Total number of Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
TST_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished – 2004 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
TST_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
TST_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used – 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
TST_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Mathematics Flag 2004	Place Holder – blank

Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Total Tested Participation Rate 2004	 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance score are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported. If the participation rate for current year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported.
TST_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total eligible Participation Count 2004	Count includes all non-exempted student with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).
TST_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Total Tested Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Total Tested student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 1. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 2. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised:

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
					ETHNICITY - WHITE (NON-HISPANIC)
AYP_ETW_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity White Reading Status	Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). No, did not make AYP Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index of have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: Safe Harbor — If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meetin AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETW_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity White Mathematics Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Status Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).
					 No, did not make AYP Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment
					Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_ETW_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity White Participation Rate Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate Status Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size. Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum
ETW_04_03	Num	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of	group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).] Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4
L111V_U+_UJ	6.0	0	Juin	Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic)Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Nome	Field	Field	h Data Cauras	Description	Chasifications and Calculations
Field Name ETW_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Description Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Specifications and Calculations Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	 Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.
ETW_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
					1 Glocit of stationic whose mathematics performance was 1 followit of above.
ETW_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.
					 The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does
					not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETW 04 04	Num	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4
1100_04_04	6.0		Guili	Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year - A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_05_04	Num	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4
	6.0			Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type		h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students tested in Reading – 2004 Total number of White Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETW_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETW_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETW_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.
					 INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETW_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students tested in Mathematics – 2004 Total number of White Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETW_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade
ETW_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient &	and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population). Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	 The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETW_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name ETW_MA_FG_04	Type Num 3.0	Length 8	Data Source	Description Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Flag 2004	Specifications and Calculations An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does
					not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate 2004	 Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students Participation Rate – 2004 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOGD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year generating is reported. 2. If the participation rate for the current year generating is reported. 2. If the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the
ETW_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) eligible Participation Count 2004	 Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic eligible to be tested students Participation Count – 2004 Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for White non-Hispanic student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY – AFRICAN-AMERICAN
AYP_ETB_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity African-American Reading Status	Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). No, did not make AYP S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Date Created: August 3, 2004

File Name: NCLByy

Date Revised: Field Field Field Name Length Data Source Specifications and Calculations Type Description AYP_ETB_MA Calculated AMO Ethnicity African-American Adequate Measurable Objective - Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Status Char. Mathematics Status Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met **S** = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk. Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100). AYP ETB PAR Char. Calculated AMO Ethnicity African-American Participation Adequate Measurable Objective - Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate Status Rate Status Υ Yes, met AMO No. AMO not met Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size. Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).] ETB 04 03 Num Sum Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 4 Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. 6.0 Students Accountable 2003 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year - A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to

the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Field	Field	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Description Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity African-American Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.
					 Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
					 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					 There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETB_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field							
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations			
ETB_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.			
ETB_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.			
ETB_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.			
ETB_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.			

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity African-American Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	 Number of Ethnicity African-American grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – African-American students tested in Reading – 2004 Total number of African-American Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETB_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> . • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETB_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengtl	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60
					subpopulation students).
ETB_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – African-American students tested in Mathematics – 2004 Total number of African-American Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETB_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETB_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate 2004	Ethnicity – African-American students Participation Rate – 2004 • Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). • Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. • Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. • Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate • Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). • If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. • Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported. 2. If the participation rate for the current year percentage is reported. 2. If the participation rate for the prior year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the dat
ETB_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American eligible Participation Count 2004	 Ethnicity – African-American eligible to be tested students Participation Count – 2004 Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for African-American student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY – HISPANIC
AYP_ETH_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Status	Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETH_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Status
					Y = Yes, met AMO
					If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose
					Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annua
					Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for
					Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
					N = No, AMO not metS = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor
					If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by
					meeting both the following criteria:
					Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring
					below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And
					2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or
					have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic
					index is lagged a year.)
					* = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment
					Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting
					AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that
					did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced
					by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic
					index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP ETH PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate Status
				Status	The state of the s
					Y Yes, met AMO
					N No, AMO not met
					* Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment
					Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this
					subpopulation of sufficient size.
					Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum
					group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETH 04 03	Num	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 4 Students	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year
	6.0			Accountable 2003	Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Hispanic Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETH_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field					
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations		
ETH_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to		
ETH_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	the first day of testing window. Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.		
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to 		
ETH_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	the first day of testing window. Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations		
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.		
ETH_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.		

		Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Hispanic Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	 Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_RD_04	Num	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – Hispanic students tested in Reading – 2004
	6.0				 Total number of Hispanic Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETH_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004
					 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
					 The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETH_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004
					 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for df's > 100 equal the critical value of df = 100

		Field			
Field Name		Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					 There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETH_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – Hispanic students tested in Mathematics – 2004 Total number of Hispanic Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this
					school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETH_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004
					 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				Gormanico mortal 2007	 The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.
					 If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETH_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004
					 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for df's > 100 equal the critical value of df = 100

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_MA_FG	3.0 Nun			Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per
					school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate 2004	Ethnicity – Hispanic students Participation Rate – 2004 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance score are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGO - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year sequal to or exceeds 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have s
ETH_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Hispanic student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY – ASIAN
AYP_ETA_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Reading Status	Adequate Measurable Objective (AMO) – Ethnicity Asian Reading Status Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETA_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Status Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor — If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_ETA_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Status Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size. Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETA_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Asian Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	 Number of Ethnicity Asian grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETA_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

		Field			
Field Name		Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Asian Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.
					 Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – Asian students tested in Reading – 2004
	0.0				 Total number of Asian Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETA_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004
				•	 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				2004	 The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETA_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004
				. 200. 200 200 .	 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for df's > 100 equal the critical value of df = 100

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.
					 INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETA_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – Asian students tested in Mathematics – 2004 Total number of Asian Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETA_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error</i> of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETA_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Type	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate 2004	 Ethnicity – Asian students Participation Rate – 2004 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance score are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough stud
ETA_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Asian student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY
AYP_LEP_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Reading Status	Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2.Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

File Name: NCLByy

Field Field

Date Created: August 3, 2004 Date Revised:

Field Name	Гјеја Туре	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_LEP_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Status
				Mathematics Status	Y = Yes, met AMO
					If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for
					Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met
					S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor
					If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:
					 Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And
					 Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)
					* = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment
					Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced
					by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_LEP_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Status	Adequate Measurable Objective – Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Status
				·	Y Yes, met AMO
					N No, AMO not met
					* Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment
					Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.
					Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
LEP_RD_COMB	Char.	1	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Status	Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Status used to indicate if the percentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained.
					 To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size
					Blank No exited LEP students used
					c Combined current and exited LEP students used

Finished		Field	D. 12. O	Post dates	Out That was 10th later
Field Name LEP_MA_COMB	Type Char.	Lengtl 1	h Data Source Calculated	Description Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Combined Population Status	Specifications and Calculations Limited Endlish Proficiency Mathematics Combined Population Status used to indicate if the bercentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained. To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size Blank No exited LEP students used Combined current and exited LEP students used
LEP_PAR_COMB	Char.	1	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population Status	Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population Status used to indicate if the percentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained. To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size Blank No exited LEP students used Combined current and exited LEP students used
LEP_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	 Number of Limited English Proficiency grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LEP_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LEP_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).

		Field	Field			
ļ	Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
	LEP_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis
						Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
	LEP_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
-	LEP_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
	LEP_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lenatl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading 2004	Limited English Proficiency students tested in Reading - 2004 Total number of Limited English Proficiency Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LEP_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population). If LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by attainment of English proficiency) in the last two years will be added to the current year subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above.
LEP_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LEP_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_RD_FG_04	3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LEP_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics 2004	 Limited English Proficiency students tested in Mathematics - 2004 Total number of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LEP_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population). If LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by attainment of English proficiency) in the last two years will be added to the current year subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above.
LEP_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> . • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
LEP_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Date Created: August 3, 2004
File Name: NCLByy
Date Revised:

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate 2004	Limited English Proficiency students Participation Rate - 2004 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGO - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year genderage is reported. If the participation rate for the current year percentage is reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported in the previous two years.
LEP_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).

	Field	Field			
Field Name		Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Limited English Proficiency student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH PROGRAM
AYP_LUP_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Status	Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Туре	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_LUP_MA	Type Char.	Length 1	Data Source Calculated	Description AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Status	Specifications and Calculations Adequate Measurable Objective - Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Status Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index on have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor — If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or
AYP_LUP_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Status	greater than 100). Adequate Measurable Objective - Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Status Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size. Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
LUP_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

		Field			
Field Name		Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lenat	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LUP_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

		Field			
Field Name		Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	 Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading 2004	Free and Reduced Lunch Program students tested in Reading - 2004 Total number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LUP_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LUP_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LUP_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics 2004	Free and Reduced Lunch Program students tested in Mathematics - 2004 Total number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LUP_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LUP_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Type	Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate 2004	 Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance score are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOGOD - School of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). If subpopulation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year sequal to or exceeds 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported. If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported in the previous two years.
LUP_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program eligible Participation Count 2004	 Free and Reduced Lunch Program eligible to be tested students Participation Count - 2004 Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).

	Field	Field			
Field Name LUP1.	Type Num 3.0	Length 8	n Data Source	Description Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	Specifications and Calculations An indicator for Free and Reduced Lunch Program student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types: 0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES
AYP_ACD_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Reading Status	Adequate Measurable Objective (AMO) - Students with Disabilities Reading Status Y = Yes, met AMO If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population. N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: 1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.) * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment Note: Safe Harbor — If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ACD_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Mathematics Status	Adequate Measurable Objective - Students with Disabilities Mathematics Status
				Status	Y = Yes, met AMO
					If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose
					Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annua
					Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for
					Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
					N = No, AMO not met
					S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor
					If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by
					meeting both the following criteria:
					Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring Students Student
					below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or
					have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic
					index is lagged a year.)
					* = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment
					Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting
					AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that
					did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced
					by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic
					index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or
AYP ACD PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Participation	greater than 100). Adequate Measurable Objective - Students with Disabilities Participation Rate Status
ATF_ACD_FAR	Criai.	'	Calculated	Rate Status	Adequate measurable Objective - Students with Disabilities Participation Nate Status
				Trate States	Y Yes, met AMO
					N No, AMO not met
					* Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment
					Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this
					subpopulation of sufficient size.
					Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum
					group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ACD_04_03	Num	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 4	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission
	6.0			Students Accountable 2003	year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to
					the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					 Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Students with Disabilities Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ACD_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Students with Disabilities mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003 Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ACD_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students).
ACD_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

		Field			
Field Name		Lengt		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructiona</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					 Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional
					days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004. • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					 Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Students with Disabilities Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004. Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered. Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading 2004	Students with Disabilities students tested in Reading - 2004 Total number of Students with Disabilities Reading students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ACD_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004 Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ACD_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> . • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ACD_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name		Field	h Doto Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name ACD_RD_FG_04	Type Num 3.0	8	h Data Source	Description Students with Disabilities Reading Flag 2004	Specifications and Calculations An indicator for Reading that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. 0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60
ACD_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics 2004	Students with Disabilities students tested in Mathematics - 2004 Total number of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students tested at and accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ACD_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished 2004 • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ACD_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004 • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion. • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ACD_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004 • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail). • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004. INDICATOR ONLY USED IF: There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade. The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district). The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population. Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Participation Rate 2004	Students with Disabilities students Participation Rate - 2004 • Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number). • Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. • Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. • Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate • Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district). • If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked. • Participation rate can be an average of up to three years. 1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported. 2. If the participation rate for current year percentage is reported. 2. If the participation rate for the prior year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported
ACD_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing. Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used. Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility. Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).

Field Name	Field Type	Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Students with Disabilities student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004 Participation Rate Calculation Types:
					O. Computed using only current year data Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.